



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/587,448	12/01/95	HO KEUNG	T

TSE HO KEUNG  
NORTH POINT POST OFFICE  
PO BOX 54670  
KONG  
HONG KONG

PM52/0312

AIR MAIL

EXAMINER	
LAUFER, P	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3642	25
DATE MAILED: 03/12/98	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks**

## Interview Summary

Application No.

08/587,448

Applicant(s)

Tse Ho Keung

Examiner 703 306-4160

Pinchus M. Laufer

Group Art Unit

3642



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Pinchus M. Laufer P. Laufer (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Tse Ho Keung (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Mar 4<sup>th</sup>, 1998

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The applicant had a series of more than 10 phone conversations (and additional phone messages) with the examiner in this time period (March 4-11, 1998). The Examiner pointed out the fee deficiency, discussed some aspects of USC 101, the usefulness of a patent agent, and listened to explanations of the invention. Applicant was advised about continuations, continuations in part, appeals, and after final practice. The Examiner pointed out that the prosecution of the case must take place in writing. The examiner further pointed out that an "Advisory" would not be sent out for either "proposed" amendments (as opposed to amendments after final) or when there is a deficiency in fee.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.